Influence of Fossil Fuel Emissions on  $CO_2$  Flux Estimates by Atmospheric Inversions Influence of Fossil Fuel Emissions on  $CO_2$  Flux Estimates by Atmospheric Inversions

\*佐伯 田鶴<sup>1</sup>、Patra Prabir<sup>1</sup>、森本 真司<sup>2</sup>、青木 周司<sup>2</sup> \*Tazu Saeki<sup>1</sup>, Prabir K. Patra<sup>1</sup>, Shinji Morimoto<sup>2</sup>, Shuji Aoki<sup>2</sup>

## 1.海洋研究開発機構、2.東北大学理学研究科

1.Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), 2.Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University

Top-down approaches (or atmospheric inversions), using atmospheric transport models with CO<sub>2</sub> observations, are an effective way to estimate regional carbon fluxes. CO<sub>2</sub> flux estimates by Bayesian inversions require a priori knowledge of terrestrial biosphere exchanges, oceanic fluxes, and fossil fuel and cement production (FFC) CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. In most inversion frameworks, global and regional FFC CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are assumed to be a known quantity because FFC CO<sub>2</sub> based on world statistics are thought to be more reliable than natural  $CO_2$  fluxes. However different databases of FFC CO<sub>2</sub> emissions may have different temporal and spatial variations especially at locations where statistics are not so accurate. In this study, we use 3 datasets of FFC emissions in inversion estimations and evaluate the sensitivity of the optimized CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes to FFC emissions with JAMSTEC's ACTM (an AGCM-based atmospheric chemistry-transport model) for the period of 2001–2011. Interannually varying a priori FF CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were based on 1) CDIAC database, 2) EDGARv4.2 database, and 3) IEA database, with some modifications. Biosphere and oceanic fluxes were optimized. Except for FF emissions, other conditions were kept the same in our inverse experiments. The three a priori FF emissions showed ~5% (~0.3GtC/yr) difference in their global total emissions in the early 2000's and the difference reached ~9% (~0.9 GtC/yr) in 2010. This resulted in 0.5-1 GtC/yr (average 2001-2011) difference in the estimated global total emissions for the ACTM inversions. Regional differences in the FFC emissions were relatively large in East Asia (~0.5 GtC/yr) and Europe (~0.4 GtC/yr). These a priori flux differences caused differences in the estimated biosphere fluxes in East Asia and Europe. Boreal North America and North Africa had less difference in FFC emissions but showed larger difference in estimated fluxes which might be affected by their neighboring regions.

Forward simulation results with the prior and posterior fluxes were compared with aircraft measurements over Japan by Tohoku University to validate the flux amplitudes and trends. Acknowledgements. This work is supported by the Environment Research and Technology Development Fund (2-1401) of the Ministry of the Environment, Japan. We thank Ingrid T. van der Laan-Luijkx for providing IEA FF emission dataset.

キーワード:炭素循環、CO2、逆解析 Keywords: Carbon cycle, CO2, Inverse modeling