Comparison of Atmospheric Parameters from VLBI, GPS and WVR

Ryuichi Ichikawa[1], Yasuhiro Koyama[2], Junichi Nakajima[1], Mamoru Sekido[1], Eiji Kawai[1], Hiroshi Ohkubo[3], Hiro Osaki[4], Tetsuro Kondo[5], Jun Amagai[6], Hitoshi Kiuchi[1], Taizoh Yoshino[1], Fujinobu Takahashi[1], Kazumasa Aonashi[7], Yoshinori Shoji[8], Hiromu Seko[9], Tetsuya Iwabuchi[10], Ryu Ohtani[11], Kazuhiro Takashima[12], Shinobu Kurihara[13], Yoshihiro Fukuzaki[14], Yuki Hatanaka[15]

[1] CRL, [2] CRL/KSRC, [3] Radio Astronomy Application Section, CRL, [4] Radio Astronomy Applications Section, KSRC, CRL, [5] KSRC,CRL, [6] KSP, CRL, [7] FRD, MRI, [8] Third Lab of Forecast Dep., MRI, [9] Forecast Dep.,MRI,JMA, [10] JSPS (MRI), [11] GSJ,

AIST, [12] Geodetic Dep.,GSI, [13] Geographical Survey Institute, [14] Second Geodetic Division, Geodetic Department, Geographical Survey Institute, [15] Geodetic Observation Center, Geographical Survey Inst.

http://www2.crl.go.jp/ka/radioastro/index-J.html

Radio signal delay associated with the neutral atmosphere is one of the major error sources for space-based geodetic techniques such as the Global Positioning System (GPS) and Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI). The comparison of atmospheric parameters (equivalent zenith wet delay and linear horizontal delay gradients) derived from VLBI, GPS, and WVR has been carried out to reveal the limitation of the anisotropic mapping functions under the intense mesoscale phenomena. For the four stations of the Key Stone Project(KSP) geodetic VLBI network (Kashima, Koganei, Miura and Tateyama) and two VLBI stations (Shintotsugawa and Chichijima) of Geographycal Survey Institute(GSI) atmospheric parameters from all these techniques have been analyzed. We are also evaluating those parameters by comparing with the ray-traced slant path delay through the two days data sets of the non-hydrostatic numerical weather prediction model with 5 km horizontal resolution. We find estimated weighted RMS differences below the 10-millimeter level and correlation coefficients more than 0.8 for the zenith wet delays derived from GPS and WVR. However, RMS differences between the zenith wet delays derived from VLBI and those from WVR are more than 50 millimeters. In addition, the agreement for the estimated horizontal delay gradients from these three techniques is less clear. The discrepancy between the VLBI results and other techniques is caused by the difficulty to estimate the vertical position, the clock offset and tropospheric parameters independently since the baseline lengths of the KSP VLBI network are relatively short (less than 150km).