

Effects of guide field on quick magnetic reconnection triggering

Kentaro Tanaka[1]; Iku Shinohara[2]; Masaki Fujimoto[3]

[1] Earth and Planetary Sci., TITECH; [2] JAXA/ISAS; [3] DEPS, TITECH

Effects of guide field on quick magnetic reconnection triggering (QMRT) in an ion-scale current sheet with the aid of the lower-hybrid drift instability (LHDI) activity have been investigated by two-dimensional (2-D) full-particle simulations. Firstly, $\tau = \omega_{pe}/\Omega_e = 1$ (ω_{pe} : the electron plasma frequency, Ω_e : the electron cyclotron frequency) and the ion-to-electron mass ratio of $M = 400$ are set. When $B_{0y} = 0$ (B_{0y} : the guide field), the current concentration at the center of the current sheet is attained in reaction to the LHDI activity for $D = 0.5 \sim 0.75$ (D : the initial half thickness of the current sheet normalized by the ion inertial length), and its time scale is as quick as the LHDI time scale (Type-I). At the current sheet center the meandering-accelerated electrons are produced by the inductive electric field due to the LHDI activity. At $D = 1$, the electron temperature anisotropy $T_{e,perp}/T_{e,para} = 1.5$ is produced as quick as the LHDI time scale. In this case the bifurcated current layer structure is formed at the flank of the current sheet center (Type-II). When $B_{0y} = 0.25$, the $D = 0.5$ case shows the Type-I current sheet structure and the electrons at the center are accelerated with the beam-like distribution. In contrast, at $D = 0.75$ with $B_{0y} = 0.25$, the bifurcated current layer is formed at the flank of the current sheet, however no remarkable growth of the electron temperature anisotropy is attained in the LHDI time scale (Type-S). This case is too thick to be subject to QMRT. When setting the stronger guide field of $B_{0y} = 0.75$, the $D = 0.5$ case has been found to be Type-S. Recovery of Type-I in $B_{0y} = 0.75$ is found at $D = 0.35$.

Next, τ has been set to $\tau = 4$ in order to see τ dependence on QMRT. When $B_{0y} = 0$, $D = 0.5$ shows the Type-I aspects, whereas $D = 0.75$ shows the Type-II aspects. This implies that the transition from Type-I to Type-II shifts to smaller D . Meanwhile, two cases of $D = 0.5$ with $B_{0y} = 0.25$ and $D = 0.35$ with $B_{0y} = 0.75$ show the aspects of Type-S. This implies that the transition from Type-I to Type-S also shifts to smaller D . We have concluded that the guide field gives the larger impact on QMRT by increasing τ .

Lastly, one more simulation has been performed by setting $D = 0.5$, $\tau = 4$ and $B_{0y} = 0$ with the physically real mass ratio in order to see the τ effect on QMRT in the real mass situation. The detailed results will be discussed in the meeting.