## Monitoring of $CO_2$ migration by resistivity and SP survey -Experiment of $CO_2$ injection into rock samples-

# Kenji Kubota[1]; Koichi Suzuki[1]; ziqiu xue[2]

[1] CRIEPI; [2] Kyoto University

Geological storage of carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  is considered to be one of the most feasible methods to mitigate the greenhousegasses at atmosphere. A major challenge in geological storage of  $CO_2$  is to monitor the migration of  $CO_2$  in the reservoirs. Resistivity monitoring is thought to be one of the most feasible methods to map the migration of  $CO_2$ . Self potential (SP) method is also expected to monitor the migration of  $CO_2$ . To interpret the results of a field electrical survey, we need to evaluate the resistivity and SP changes during  $CO_2$  injection into water-saturated porous medium quantitatively. In this study, we have carried out laboratory measurements of resistivity and SP during supercritical, liquid and gaseous  $CO_2$  injection into water-saturated porous sandstone, respectively.

In this study, Berea sandstone (Devonian, Ohio, 50mm in diameter and 100mm in length, 17.9% porosity) was used. Disk mesh brass electrodes were attached to both sample ends and four ring brass electrodes were attached to the side of the sample at regular intervals. After the sample was saturated with pore water, which was made from KCl, NaCl and so on,  $CO_2$  was injected from the bottom end of the sample at a constant pressure. During the  $CO_2$  injection, when rectangular current was transmitted from both ends of the sample, resistivity and SP of each adjoining electrodes were recorded every 1 to 5 minutes. In this study, supercritical, liquid and gaseous  $CO_2$  were injected into the sample, respectively. At a depth of below -800m, supercritical  $CO_2$  phase is dominated, so we need to monitor supercritical  $CO_2$  migration at the operation of  $CO_2$  storage. We carried out  $CO_2$  injection of each three phase to investigate the behavior of supercritical  $CO_2$  migration.

As a result, according to the  $CO_2$  injection, resistivity began to increase in all cases. Especially, in cases of supercritical and liquid  $CO_2$  injection, resistivity increase moved from bottom to the top end of the sample. The changes of resistivity were 147% in the case of supercritical  $CO_2$  injection, 131% of liquid  $CO_2$  case and 15.7% of gaseous  $CO_2$  case, respectively.

When  $CO_2$  is injected into an aquifer, highly conductive formation water in pore spaces of the reservoir rock will be partially displaced by less conductive  $CO_2$ , so resistivity increase is expected. In this study, resistivity increase was identified in all cases, so this suggests that the migration of  $CO_2$  can be detected by resistivity monitoring. The patterns of resistivity changes were different from each case. Density and viscosity of  $CO_2$  are different at each phase, so there is a possibility that migration pattern is different from each phase. This difference can be mapped in this study.

In cases of the supercritical and gaseous  $CO_2$  injection, SP and resistivity in some sections began to increase simultaneously. The changes of SP at that time ranged 0.03V to 0.16V. When  $CO_2$  is injected into the sample, pore water flows and ions in the electrolyte are moved, so streaming potential appears and SP will change. In some cases, SP and resistivity changed simultaneously in all sections. This indicates that streaming potential occurs by the  $CO_2$  injection and SP monitoring is also expected to monitor the migration of  $CO_2$ .