Japan Geoscience Union Meeting 2012

(May 20-25 2012 at Makuhari, Chiba, Japan)

©2012. Japan Geoscience Union. All Rights Reserved.



```
会場:201B
```



時間:5月22日15:30-15:45

## SMILES 観測による CIO + HO2 HOC1 + O2 の反応速度定数の導出 Estimation of the reaction rate constant of CIO + HO2 to HOC1 + O2 by SMILES observation

栗林 康太<sup>1\*</sup>, 佐川 英夫<sup>2</sup>, 佐藤 知紘<sup>1</sup>, 笠井 康子<sup>2</sup> KURIBAYASHI, Kouta<sup>1\*</sup>, SAGAWA, Hideo<sup>2</sup>, SATO, Tomohiro<sup>1</sup>, KASAI, YASUKO<sup>2</sup>

## 1 東京工業大学, 2 情報通信研究機構

<sup>1</sup>Tokyo institute of Technology, <sup>2</sup>NICT

HOCl play an important role in the ozone chemistry to link the odd ClOx and the odd HOx with the reaction,

 $ClO + HO_2$  to  $HOCl + O_2$  (1)

This is the only one reaction to produce HOCl in the middle atmosphere in the gas phase. This reaction is a key in the middle stratospheric ozone loss for the partitioning of the Cl atomic radical.

There are several laboratory studies to determine the reaction rate constant of (1). But these reaction rate constants have large discrepancies with large uncertainties as  $k_{HOCl} = 3.3 \times 10^{-11} \exp(-850/T) + 4.5 \times 10^{-12} (T/300)^{-3.7}$  (Stimpfle et al, 1979) or  $k_{HOCl} = (1.75 + 0.52) \times 10^{-12} \exp[(368 + 78)/T]$  (Hickson et al, 2007). Main reason for its uncertainty is that the chemical reaction of (1) is the reaction of CIO radical and HO<sub>2</sub> radical. Therefore, in laboratory experiment for the calculation of this reaction rate constant, the generations of two radical is too difficult, and it is also difficult to extract only this reaction purely.

We have estimated the reaction rate constant of (1) from the atmospheric observation directly in the upper stratosphere/lower mesosphere (US/LM) region by using a new super-sensitive remote sensing technology named Superconducting SubMillimeter-wave Limb Emission Sounder (SMILES) on the International Space Station (ISS)

We had estimate the reaction rate constant of (1) with the procedure as below.

1) We discovered that the time period when the reaction of (1) was purely happened is from one hour after sunset to one hour before sunrise.

2) From the time variations of ClO and HO<sub>2</sub> of this time period, we calculated the reaction rate constant of (1). The estimated reaction rate constant is  $8.9 \times 10^{-12}$  [cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] (20S-40S, 0.54hPa, 254.5K)

3) From this reaction rate constant and the time variations of ClO and  $HO_2$ , we calculated the time variations of HOCl.

4) We checked the comparison between these calculated values and observed values. Our calculated values was in good agreement with the observed values.

5) We checked the comparison between our estimated reaction rate constants and the previous reaction rate constants. Our estimated reaction rate constant,  $k_{HOCl} = 8.9 \times 10^{-12}$  (20S-40S, 0.54hPa, 254.5K), was between the reaction rate constant,  $k_{HOCl} = 7.43 \times 10^{-12}$  (Hickson et al), and the reaction rate constant,  $k_{HOCl} = 9.44 \times 10^{-12}$  (Stimpfle et al).

キーワード: サブミリ波, SMILES, HOCl Keywords: SMILES, HOCl