## Japan Geoscience Union Meeting 2013

(May 19-24 2013 at Makuhari, Chiba, Japan)

©2013. Japan Geoscience Union. All Rights Reserved.



SSS28-03

会場:303

時間:5月22日16:45-17:00

Back-projection 法の理論的背景と time-reversal 及びインバース問題の解との関係 Theoretical background of back-projection imaging and its relation to time-reversal and inverse solutions

深畑 幸俊 <sup>1\*</sup>, 八木 勇治 <sup>2</sup>, リベラ ルイス <sup>3</sup> Yukitoshi Fukahata <sup>1\*</sup>, Yuji Yagi <sup>2</sup>, RIVERA, Luis <sup>3</sup>

The back-projection (BP) method has become a popular tool to image the rupture process of large earthquakes since the success of Ishii et al. (2005), while it has not been clear what the BP image represents physically. We clarified the theoretical background of the back-projection (BP) imaging and related it to classical inverse solutions via the hybrid back-projection (HBP) imaging (Yagi et al., 2012). In the HBP method, which is mathematically almost equivalent to the time-reversal imaging, cross correlations of observed waveforms with the corresponding Green's functions are calculated. The key condition for BP to work well is that the Green's function is sufficiently closer to the delta function after stacking. Then, we found that the BP image represents the slip motion on the fault, and approximately equals to the least squares solution. In HBP, instead of the Green's function in BP, the stacked auto-correlation function of the Green's function must be close to the delta function to obtain a fine image. Because the auto-correlation function is usually closer to the delta function than the original function, we can expect that HBP works better than BP, if we can reasonably estimate the Green's function. With another condition that the stacked cross-correlation function of the Green's functions for different source locations is small enough, the HBP image is approximately equal to the least squares solution. If these assumption are not satisfied, however, the HBP image corresponds to a damped least squares solution with an extremely large damping parameter, which is clearly inferior to usual inverse solutions. We show some simple examples of numerical computation to check the validity of the above mentioned conditions implicitly assumed in the BP and HBP methods.

 $\pm$  –  $\neg$  –  $\vdash$ : Back projection, Time reversal, Inversion, least squares solution Keywords: Back projection, Time reversal, Inversion, least squares solution

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  京都大学防災研究所,  $^2$  筑波大学大学院生命環境系,  $^3$  ストラスブール大学

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>DPRI, Kyoto University, <sup>2</sup>Life and Environ. Sci., Univ. Tsukuba, <sup>3</sup>EOST, University of Strasbourg