Japan Geoscience Union Meeting 2015

(May 24th - 28th at Makuhari, Chiba, Japan)

©2015. Japan Geoscience Union. All Rights Reserved.

SSS26-15



時間:5月26日15:00-15:15

Comparison between ray theory and synthetic seismograms for transversely isotropic media Comparison between ray theory and synthetic seismograms for transversely isotropic media

BORGEAUD, Anselme^{1*}; KONISHI, Kensuke¹; GELLER, Robert J.¹; KAWAI, Kenji² BORGEAUD, Anselme^{1*}; KONISHI, Kensuke¹; GELLER, Robert J.¹; KAWAI, Kenji²

¹Department of Earth and Planetary Science, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo, ²Department of Earth Science and Astronomy, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, University of Tokyo ¹Department of Earth and Planetary Science, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo, ²Department of Earth Science and Astronomy, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, University of Tokyo

Imaging seismic anisotropy is essential for a better understanding of geodynamics, since it provides information on deformation and flow in the crust and mantle. S-wave splitting has been widely used to infer the presence and extent of anisotropy. The inference of anisotropy from S wave splitting relies, however, on ray theory, which is strictly valid only for infinitely high frequencies, and thus may not accurately reflect real finite-frequency seismic data. For example, a recent study by Komatitsch et al. (2010) showed that splitting of diffracted S waves in the D" region, just above the CMB, is present in synthetics computed for an isotropic velocity model. In this study we compute travel times for a transversely isotropic (TI) medium using a newly developed software package (Konishi et al., JpGU, 2015). We then compute full-wave synthetics using the Direct Solution Method (DSM; Kawai et al., 2006). We focus on two regions of the mantle: D" and the upper mantle. We confirm apparent S-wave splitting in synthetics computed for the isotropic IASP91 velocity model for epicentral distances over 100 degrees, in agreement with the results of Komatitsch et al. (2010). We also compare the predictions of geometrical optics to synthetics for TI models for phases which sample the upper mantle and the D" region.

 $\neq - \neg - ec{F}$: DSM, Travel time, D double prime, Upper mantle, Anisotropy, S-wave splitting Keywords: DSM, Travel time, D double prime, Upper mantle, Anisotropy, S-wave splitting