Mass transport in fault zones: transition from nonlocal to normal transport
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Fault zones clearly affect the flow paths of fluids at the scale of geothermal reservoirs. Fault-related fracture damage decreases to background levels with increasing distance from the fault core according to a power law. This study investigates mass transport in such a fault-related structure using nonlocal models. A column flow experiment has been conducted to create a permeability distribution that varies with distance from a main conduit. The tracer response curve describes a preasymptotic curve implying subdiffusive transport, which is slower than the normal Fickian diffusion. As long as permeability of the surrounding layers varies with distance from a main conduit, the tracer response can be modeled by the time fractional advection dispersion equation (time fADE). In contrast, if the surrounding area is a finite domain, an upper truncated behavior in tracer response (i.e., exponential decline at late time) is observed. The tempered anomalous diffusion (TAD) model captures the transition from sub-diffusive to Fickian transport, which is characterized by a smooth transition from power-law to an exponential decline in the late-time breakthrough curves.
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Fracture properties

- **homogeneous**
  - $\log(\text{Fracture Density})$ vs $\log(\text{Distance})$
  - Constant

- **truncation resolution effect**
  - Log-log plot with a cutoff
  - $\log(\text{Fracture Density})$ vs $\log(\text{Distance})$

- **fractal geometry**
  - Power law
  - $\log(\text{Fracture Density})$ vs $\log(\text{Distance})$

Field observation

Mass transport model

- **ADE**
  - Fickian diffusion
  - $\sigma = (Dt)^{1/2}$
  - Exponential
  - $\log(\text{Concentration})$ vs $\log(\text{Time})$

- **TAD**
  - Sub-diffusion
  - $\sigma = (Dt)^{\nu/2}$
  - Power law
  - $\log(\text{Concentration})$ vs $\log(\text{Time})$

- **time fADE**
  - Sub-diffusion
  - $\log(\text{Concentration})$ vs $\log(\text{Time})$

Tracer response